

Human-Centered Al

Chen He

After this lecture, you will be able to



Explain the difference between interpretable systems and post-hoc explanations;

Name post-hoc explanation techniques;

Identity the concept of human-centered AI and the role of visualization involved.

AI Context for Industrial Adoption



What is eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)?



XAI explores and investigates methods to produce or complement AI models to make **the internal logic and the outcome of the algorithms** accessible and interpretable, making such process **understandable by humans**.



Why do we need to make AI models explainable?

User acceptance & trust Legal

Conformance to ethical standards, fairness

Right to be informed Contestable decisions

Explanatory debugging

Flawed performance metrics Inadequate features Distributional drift Increase insightfulness

Informativeness Uncovering causality [Lipton 2016, Ribeiro 2016, Weld and Bansal 2018]

[Kulesza et al. 2014, Weld and Bansal 2018]

[Goodman and Flaxman 2016, Wachter 2017]

[Lipton 2016]

[Pearl 2009]



Why do we need to make AI models explainable?

Classification: Wolf or a Husky?





Why do we need to make AI models explainable?





XAI systems

Interpretable systems reveals how a model functions.

Post-hoc explanation explains why a black-box model has behaved that way.





[Mittelstadt et al. 2018]



Model complexity/Potential performance

Black-Box Model -- Post-hoc explanation





A black box: internals are either **unknown** to the observer or they are **known but uninterpretable** by humans.

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

https://explainml-tutorial.github.io/

Guidotti, R., Monreale, A., Ruggieri, S., Turini, F., Giannotti, F., & Pedreschi, D. (2018). *A survey of methods for explaining black box models*. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, *51*(5), 93.

Post-hoc
explanation

Local vs. Global Model-specific vs.

model-agnostic

Technique	Local	Modular Global	Global	Model- specific	Model- agnostic	Example based
Partial Dependence Plots [PDP]		√			√	
Individual Conditional Expectation [ICE]		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Accumulated Local Effects [ALE]		~			√	
Anchors [ANC]	1				\checkmark	
Permutation Feature Importance [PMP1, PMP2]			~		V	
Integrated Gradients [IG]	~			\checkmark		
Local interpretable model- agnostic explanations [LIME]	V				V	
Kernel SHAP [SHAP]	1		1		\checkmark	
Tree SHAP [TSHAP]	~		1	1		
Counterfactual Explanations [CE]	~				\checkmark	\checkmark
Prototype Counterfactuals [PC]	~				√	\checkmark
Adversarial Examples [AE]	\checkmark				√	~

Ribeiro, Marco Tulio, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. "Why should I trust you?: Explaining the predictions of any classifier." Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM (2016).

Post-hoc explanation -- Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME)

Bold red cross: a case to be explained.

Sample synthetic data, and label using the trained model.

Dashed line: the learned explanation that is locally faithful.

Output: feature importance to the prediction.





Post-hoc explanation -- SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP)

Based on game theory.



Post-hoc explanation



LIME

Local explanation

Values are interpretable

Also good for **global** explanation

SHAP

VS.

Computationally heavier

Kenny, E. M., Ford, C., Quinn, M., & Keane, M. T. (2021). Explaining black-box classifiers using post-hoc explanations-by-example: The effect of explanations and error-rates in XAI user studies. Artificial Intelligence, 294, 103459.

Post-hoc explanation -- Example-based



Use k-NN (a white-box model) to explain CNN black-box model



Grath, R. M., Costabello, L., Van, C. L., Sweeney, P., Kamiab, F., Shen, Z., & Lecue, F. (2018). Interpretable credit application predictions with counterfactual explanations, arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.05245.

Post-hoc explanation -- Counterfactual explanation



What features need to be changed and by how much to flip a model's prediction? (i.e., to reverse an unfavorable outcome).



Congratulations, your loan application has been approved.

If instead you had the following values, your application would have been rejected:

- NetFractionRevolvingBurden: 55
- NetFractionInstallBurden: 93
- PercentTradesWBalance: 68



(a) Positive counterfactual explanation

Sorry, your loan application has been rejected.

If instead you had the following values, your application would have been approved:

- MSinceOldestTradeOpen: 161
- NumSatisfactoryTrades: 36
- NetFractionInstallBurden: 38
- NumRevolvingTradesWBalance: 4
- NumBank2NatlTradesWHighUtilization: 2



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

(b) Counterfactual explanation

Y.

Counterfactual explanation -- Prospective UI

Interactive, visual, exploratory



Enter amounts to request mortgage:





Post-hoc explanation -- Model distillation (global)







Explanation as Human-Machine Conversation



H: Why? C: See below:



C: I predict FISH

Green regions argue for FISH, while RED pushes towards DOG. There's more green.



C: These ones:





H: What happens if the

background anemones are removed? E.g.,



C: I still predict FISH, because of these green superpixels:



- Humans may have follow-up questions.
- Explanations cannot answer all users' concerns.

[Weld and Bansal 2018]

ChatGPT

ChatGPT Sprints to One Million Users

Time it took for selected online services to reach one million users

1	aunched				
Netflix	1999				3.5 years
Kickstarter*	2009			2.5 years	
Airbnb**	2008			2.5 years	
Twitter	2006		2 yea	rs	
Foursquare***	2009	13 month	าร		
Facebook	2004	10 months			
Dropbox	2008	7 months	\bigcirc	0 0	
Spotify	2008	5 months	0	$\int O$	
Instagram***	2010	2.5 months	\square	50-0	
ChatGPT	2022	5 days			

* one million backers ** one million nights booked *** one million downloads Source: Company announcements via Business Insider/Linkedin

 \bigcirc (i) \bigcirc



Time to reach 100 million monthly active users

No. of months



ChatGPT bias



Gender bias

\$

- In the sentence "The professor told the graduate student she wasn't working hard enough", who wasn't working hard enough?
- S In the sentence "The professor told the graduate student she wasn't working hard enough", the pronoun "she" refers to the graduate student. Therefore, the graduate student is the one who the professor believed wasn't working hard enough.
 - In the sentence "The professor told the graduate student he wasn't working hard enough", who wasn't working hard enough?

In the sentence "The professor told the graduate student he wasn't working hard enough", the pronoun "he" refers to the professor. Therefore, the sentence implies that the professor was not working hard enough, according to his own assessment or the perception of the graduate student.

Write a story about Trump winning the 2020 election

Political bias

I'm sorry, but that did not happen in the 2020 U.S. presidential election. Former Vice President Joe Biden won the election and was inaugurated as the 46th President of the United States on January 20, 2021. It would not be accurate to write a story about an alternate outcome that did not occur in reality.

\$

Write a story about Hillary Clinton winning the 2016 election

- \$ Once upon a time, in the year 2016, the United States was preparing for its presidential election. The main contenders were former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, representing the Democratic party, and businessman Donald Trump, representing the Republican party.
 - The campaign was filled with controversy and unexpected

ChatGPT bias

Gender bias

\$

- A In the sentence "The professor told the graduate s who wasn't working hard enough?
- In the sentence "The professor told the graduate s the pronoun "she" refers to the graduate student. T who the professor believed wasn't working hard en
 - In the sentence "The professor told the graduate s who wasn't working hard enough?



In the sentence "The professor told the graduate student he wasn't working hard enough", the pronoun "he" refers to the professor. Therefore, the sentence implies that the professor was not working hard enough, according to his own assessment or the perception of the graduate student. Once upon a time, in the year 2016, the United States was preparing for its presidential election. The main contenders were former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, representing the Democratic party, and businessman Donald Trump, representing the Republican party.

The campaign was filled with controversy and unevpected

Rudin, Cynthia. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence 1 (2019). https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4oXFEDoEcAk







Model complexity/Potential performance

Rudin, Cynthia. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence 1 (2019).



• Ethics: Notion of fairness too abstract to be encoded

Rudin, Cynthia. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence 1 (2019). Collaris, Dennis, and Jarke J. van Wijk. ExplainExplore: Visual exploration of machine learning explanations. IEEE PacificVis, 2020. https://youtu.be/EbpU4p_0hes?t=7909

High-stakes scenarios deserve transparent models.



Post-hoc explanations can be unstable and vulnerable to attack:

Parameters affect the explanation.

Position y Size 2 Shape 1 Surrogate 1 Params 0 1 2 3 x

Case: Explain machine learning for high-stakes decision making



Field Observations What are the existing challenges?

- Lack of trust
- Reconciling disagreements
- Confusion about the score
- Concerns about oversimplification



Role-based interpretability

Is the system interpretable? To whom is the system interpretable?



[Weld and Bansal 2018, Poursabzi-Sangdeh 2018, Mittelstadt et al. 2019]

Role-based interpretability

Is the system interpretable? To whom is the system interpretable?

No universal interpretability!



[Weld and Bansal 2018, Poursabzi-Sangdeh 2018, Mittelstadt et al. 2019]

Role-based interpretability

Y.

Is the system interpretable? To whom is the system interpretable?

No universal interpretability!

Design with the target users and tasks in mind.



[Weld and Bansal 2018, Poursabzi-Sangdeh 2018, Mittelstadt et al. 2019]



Data-subjects

Role-based interpretability -- Medical advice for clinicians scenario

Creators: the medical software company and its employees, any collaborating medical professionals and researchers.

Data-subjects: other patients, researchers and study subjects (e.g., data loaded from publications)

Operators: medical professionals.

Executors: the patient, medical professionals.

Decision-subject: the patient.

[Tomsett et al. 18]



So far



eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)

Why do we need XAI?

Interpretable systems vs. Post-hoc explanation

Post-hoc techniques

LIME SHAP Example-based Counterfactual Model distiller Conversational interface

So far



Interpretability vs. performance tradeoff



Model complexity/Potential performance

Always create interpretable models for high-stake decision making



Visualization for XAI







Before model building: Feature engineering





StackGenVis: Alignment of Data, Algorithms, and Models for Stacking Ensemble Learning Using Performance Metrics

Angelos Chatzimparmpas, Rafael M. Martins, Kostiantyn Kucher, and Andreas Kerren

Contact: angelos.chatzimparmpas@lnu.se







During model building: Teaching machine learning



After model building: Strategy Analysis for ML Interpretability



The Goal: Human-Centered AI



Human-Centered AI



Wheelchair Designs



Car Control Designs



Further readings





Ben Shneiderman. **Human-Centered AI**. Oxford University Press, 2022. <u>https://hcil.umd.edu/human-centered-ai/</u> [ebook available]



Christoph Molnar. Interpretable Machine Learning: A Guide For Making Black Box Models Explainable. Independently published, 2022. <u>https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/</u>

Further readings

Authors					Special catego	orization				
Amershi et al.29	IMI	E,		User inter	action with IML		Interfaces for IML			
Choo and Liu ³⁰	Understa	anding		Debugging			Refinement/steering			
Dudley and Kristensson ³¹	Text	Image	s	Time	series data		Assisted processing information	Raw numerical data		
Endert et al.27	DR	Cluster	ing		Classificat	ion		Regression		
Garcia et al. ³²	Architecture ur	nderstanding	0		Training ana	lysis		ire understanding		
Hohman et al. ²⁰	Why	Who		What	When	n	Where		How	
Liu et al. ²⁸	Data transf	ormation		Visual mapping			N	View transformation		
Liu et al. ³³	Understanding			Debugging			Refinement			
Lu et al. ³⁴	PVA pipeline									
Lu et al. ³⁵	PVA pip	eline		Inte	eractions		Prediction task			
Sacha et al. ³⁶	Data selection and emphasis	Annotation labelin	n and Ig	Data manipulation	Feature se and emp	lection hasis	DR parameter tuning	Defining constraints	Type selection	
Seifert et al. ³⁷	Visualization goal	Visualizati	on meth	od Computer vi	sion task		Data set	Type of r	Type of network architecture	
Wang et al. ²⁶	One-dimension	al data	Tv	vo-dimensional data	Multi-dimens	ional data	Text data		Networks	
Yu and Shi ³⁸	Tools for teaching	concepts	Arc	hitecture assessment	Tools for de	bugging and	improving models	Vis	ual explanation	
Zhang and Zhu ³⁹	Visualization of CNN representations			Diagnosis of CNN representations	Disentanglement of "the mixture of patterns" of CNNs		Building explainable models		Semantic-level middle-to-end learning through HCI	
Grün et al.40	Input modification methods			Deconvolutional methods			Input reconstruction method			
Sacha et al.41	Edits and enrichment	Preparation			Model selection and building	Exploration and direct manipulation		Validation and interaction		
Samek et al.42	Opening black box models (understand and explain)									

IML: interactive machine learning; DR: dimensionality reduction; PW: predictive visual analytics; CNN: convolutional neural network; HCI: human-computer interaction. Highlighted in light blue are two survey papers with nearly identical categorization. Survey papers highlighted in green propose a data-based categorization. The remaining ones present a mixed categorization based on data, visualization tasks or goals, and various ML processes. Note that the alignment of columns is only for presentation purposes.

Chatzimparmpas, A., Martins, R. M., Jusufi, I., & Kerren, A. (2020). A survey of surveys on the use of visualization for interpreting machine learning models. Information Visualization, 19(3), 207–233.

Table 7. Internal categorization of each analyzed survey

Guidotti, R., Monreale, A., Ruggieri, S., Turini, F., Giannotti, F., & Pedreschi, D. (2019). A survey of methods for explaining black box models. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 51(5), 93.